How does shoreline restoration affect invertebrate populations?

Caroline Troy, Chester Zarnoch, & Stephen Gosnell Baruch College

Sherman Creek Restoration







RESULTS

Richness at each Site



How did richness change?

• Epifauna richness increases from 2020 to 2021 at both the new marsh and riprap sites

 Richness at mudflat & riprap infauna sites is mostly consistent over time



Why did new marsh richness, diversity, and some species' density increase?

• These increases may be due to collection of organic matter (food for invertebrates) in the core fiber mattresses installed during restoration

• Lower richness and diversity levels in 2020 compared to mudflat and riprap sites may be due to the disruptive effects of the restoration, so higher levels in 2021 could

Simpson's Diversity Index at each Site



Density?

• Four main species that increased in density at the new marsh were the fiddler crab, amphipod, sandworm, and sea pill bug.

Simpson's Diversity?

• Diversity at the new marsh site increased slightly from 2020 to 2021

 Simpson's diversity for infauna and epifauna is mainly consistent across years at riprap and mudflat sites

reflect recovery from that event 2020 2021 Year

NEXT STEPS

- Continue sampling to determine if populations will achieve greater richness and diversity as the restoration site recovers
- Continue to examine species-specific trends to find if some species are more responsive to restoration
- Compare to similar restoration sites in urban areas to determine if these trends are generalizable

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my mentors Professor Chester Zarnoch and Professor Stephen Gosnell for their teaching and support. I would also like to thank the BUEE program, the Zarnoch and Gosnell lab groups for their help with data collection, New York Restoration Project, and NSF for funding this internship.



CITATIONS

Figures 1 & 2. Photos taken by NYRP in 2020 and used with permission.